

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/604,404	07/17/2003	Avinash Malhotra	02-0707/KEL106	1403
32583	7590 03/16/2006		EXAMINER	
KELLOGG BROWN & ROOT, INC.			LANGEL, WAYNE A	
601 JEFFERSON AVENUE HOUSTON, TX 77002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			1754	

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/604,404	MALHOTRA ET AL.
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit
	Wayne Langel	1754
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 27 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mure date of the final rejection.	idavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) ust be filed within one of the following
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comparing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensions.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing day).	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) at te of the final rejection, even if timely filed filed within two months of the date of
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	within the time period set forth in 3 but prior to the date of filing a brief, onsideration and/or search (see NO	will <u>not</u> be entered because
 (c)	tter form for appeal by materially re	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		
 4.):	
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-14. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 15-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 19.		I be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appears y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to provide a ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	in of the status of the ciaims after e	nuy is below of attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). __ 13. Other: ____.

> Wayne Langel Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1754

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly recited limitation in claim 18 that the liquid expander have a work output would require further consideration and possibly search of the prior art.

Claim 19 would be rejoined if claim 18 eventually becomes allowable.